IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

INNOVATIVE SPORTS MANAGEMENT, INC.,	§	
d/b/a INTEGRATED SPORTS MEDIA	§	
as Broadcast Licensee of the January 28, 2022	§	
Colombia v Peru Soccer Match,	§	
,	§	
Plaintiff,	8	
,	8	
V.	8	Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-04299
	8	21.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1
1) SABOR Y SAZON PERUVIAN RESTAURANT	8	
LLC, individually, and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON	8	
and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON PERUVIAN	8	
RESTAURANT;	8	
2) CARMEN MALEN RIVERO, individually, and	8	
d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON and d/b/a SABOR Y	8	
SAZON and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON PERUVIAN	8	
RESTAURANT; and	8	
3) MARIA RIVERO, individually, and d/b/a	8	
SABOR Y SAZON and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON	8	
and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON PERUVIAN	8	
RESTAURANT; and	8	
	8	
4) MAX RIVERO, individually, and d/b/a SABOR	8	
Y SAZON and d/b/a SABOR Y SAZON and d/b/a	8	
SABOR Y SAZON PERUVIAN RESTAURANT,	8	
D C 1	8	
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Innovative Sports Management, Inc. d/b/a Integrated Sports Media ("Plaintiff") files *Plaintiff's Original Complaint* against Defendants (1) Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant LLC, individually, and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant ("Sabor Y Sazon"); (2) Carmen Malen Rivero, individually, and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant ("Carmen Rivero"); and (3) Maria Rivero, individually, and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant ("Maria Rivero"); and (4)

Max Rivero, individually, and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon and d/b/a Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant ("Max Rivero") (collectively "Defendants").

PARTIES

- 1. <u>Plaintiff</u>. Innovative Sports Management, Inc. d/b/a Integrated Sports Media, as Broadcast Licensee of the January 28, 2022 Colombia v Peru Soccer Match, is a foreign corporation. Plaintiff's business address is 720 Monroe Street, Suite E-303, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.
- 2. <u>Defendant Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant LLC.</u> Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant LLC is a Texas limited liability company doing business as Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant and having its business mailing address as 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas 77080. On the date of the Event (as defined herein), Defendant Sabor Y Sazon:
 - A. held the license/permit issued by the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission for the commercial establishment named Sabor Y Sazon Peruvian Restaurant and located at 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas 77080 (the "Establishment");
 - B. owned and/or operated the Establishment;
 - C. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment; and
 - D. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment.

Defendant Sabor Y Sazon may be served by delivering the *Summons* and a copy of *Plaintiff's Original Complaint* to by and through its registered agent, Carmen Malen Rivero, 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas 77080.

- 3. <u>Defendant Carmen Malen Rivero.</u> Carmen Malen Rivero is an individual residing in the State of Texas. On the date of the Event (as defined herein), Defendant Carmen Rivero:
 - A. held the license/permit issued by the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission for the Establishment;

- B. was an owner and/or manager of the Establishment;
- C. was an officer and/or owner of the entity that owned the property where the Establishment was located;
- D. was an officer and/or owner of the entity owning the Establishment;
- E. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment; and
- F. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment.

Defendant Carmen Rivero may be served by delivering the Summons and a copy of Plaintiff's Original Complaint to Defendant Carmen Rivero at 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas 77080

- 4. Defendant Maria Rivero. Maria Rivero is an individual residing in the State of Texas. On the date of the Event (as defined herein), Defendant Maria Rivero:
 - held the license/permit issued by the Texas Alcoholic Beverage A. Commission for the Establishment;
 - В. was an owner and/or manager of the Establishment;
 - C. was an officer and/or owner of the entity that owned the property where the Establishment was located;
 - D. was an officer and/or owner of the entity owning the Establishment;
 - E. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment; and
 - had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the F. Establishment.

Defendant Maria Rivero may be served by delivering the Summons and a copy of Plaintiff's Original Complaint to Defendant Maria Rivero at 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas 770804.

- 5. <u>Defendant Max Rivero.</u> Max Rivero is an individual residing in the State of Texas. On the date of the Event (as defined herein), Defendant Max Rivero:
 - A. held the license/permit issued by the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission for the Establishment;
 - B. was an owner and/or manager of the Establishment;
 - C. was an officer and/or owner of the entity that owned the property where the Establishment was located;
 - D. was an officer and/or owner of the entity owning the Establishment;
 - E. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment; and
 - F. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment.

Defendant Max Rivero may be served by delivering the *Summons* and a copy of *Plaintiff's*Original Complaint to Defendant Max Rivero at 9401 Clay Road, Suite 110, Houston, Texas
77080.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

6. This action arises under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 or 605 in Texas.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District because Defendants reside in this District and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM

8. <u>Authorization to License Event</u>. Plaintiff is the license company that was exclusively authorized to sub-license the closed-circuit telecast of the January 28, 2022 Colombia v Peru Soccer Match (the "Event") at closed-circuit locations such as theaters, arenas, bars, clubs, lounges, restaurants and the like throughout Texas.

- 9. The closed-circuit broadcast of the Event was not intended for the use of the general public. In Texas, the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event could only be exhibited in a commercial establishment, if the establishment was contractually authorized to do so by Plaintiff.
- 10. Pursuant to its authority to sub-license the Event, Plaintiff marketed and distributed the closed-circuit rights granted to it. Plaintiff, through its agents, contracted with various establishments throughout Texas and granted such establishments the right to broadcast the Event in exchange for a fee.
- 11. <u>Exhibition of the Event</u>. The transmission of the Event originated via satellite and was electronically coded or "scrambled." In order for the signal to be received and telecast clearly, it had to be decoded with electronic decoding equipment.
- 12. The transmission of the Event was available to Defendants to purchase for broadcast in the Establishment. Had Defendants chosen to purchase the Event, Defendants would have been authorized to receive, transmit and publish the Event in the Establishment.

 Defendants did not, however, contract with Plaintiff or any of its agents, to obtain the rights to broadcast the Event.
- 13. The establishments that contracted with Plaintiff to broadcast the Event were provided with the electronic decoding capability and/or satellite coordinates necessary to receive the signal of the Event.
- 14. On January 28, 2022, either by satellite transmission or through unauthorized receipt over a cable system, Defendants willfully intercepted or received the interstate communication of the Event. In the alternative, Defendants assisted in the receipt of the interstate communication of the Event. Defendants then transmitted, divulged and published said

communication, or assisted in transmitting, divulging and publishing said communication, to patrons within the Establishment.

- 15. Defendants misappropriated Plaintiff's licensed exhibition of the Event and infringed upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights while avoiding proper payment to Plaintiff.

 Defendants' actions were committed willfully and with the express purpose and intent to secure a commercial advantage and private financial gain.
- 16. Defendants enabled the patrons within the Establishment to view the Event to which neither Defendants nor the Establishment's patrons were entitled to do.
- 17. The persons whom Defendants permitted to view the Event would otherwise have been able to view it at a commercial establishment only if said commercial establishment was properly licensed and authorized by Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendants were not authorized to intercept, receive or transmit the communication of the Event or to assist in such actions in any form or at any time.

VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. § 553 OR § 605

19. Defendants' wrongful actions in connection with the Event, as described above, violate 47 U.S.C. Section 605, or Section 553.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiff demands that the Court sign and cause to be entered a judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for:

- (a) Statutory damages in an amount up to Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii);
- (b) Statutory damages for willfulness in an amount up to Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(B);
- (c) Statutory damages in an amount up to Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II);

- (d) Statutory damages in an amount up to One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii);
- (e) Full costs and expenses of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 553(c)(2)(C) and 605(e)(3)(B)(iii);
- (f) Pre and post-judgment interest at the highest rate permitted by law; and
- (g) Such other and further relief to which Plaintiff is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES, DAVIS & JACKSON, PC

By: David M. Diaz

Attorney-in-charge

State Bar No. 24012528

Southern District Bar No. 889588

ddiaz@jonesdavis.com

15110 Dallas Parkway, Suite 300

Dallas, Texas 75248

Telephone: (972) 733-3117

Fax: (972) 733-3119

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF